

Statement of Verification

BREG EN EPD No.: 000642

at the

Issue 01

This is to verify that the

Environmental Product Declaration

provided by:

iÇDAŞ Çelik Enerji Tersane ve Ulaşım Sanayi A.Ş. (member of CARES)

is in accordance with the requirements of:

EN 15804:2012+A2:2019

and

BRE Global Scheme Document SD207

This declaration is for:

High tensile prestressing steel wire and strand for the prestressing of concrete (secondary production route - scrap)

Company Address

iÇDAŞ Çelik Enerji Tersane ve Ulaşım Sanayi A.Ş. (member of CARES) Değirmencik Köyü 17950 Biga Çanakkale Türkiye



Emma Baker

for BRE Global Ltd Operator

04 November 2024
Date of First Issue

Will State of the State of the

Date of this Issue

03 November 2027

04 November 2024

Expiry Date



This Statement of Verification is issued subject to terms and conditions (for details visit www.greenbooklive.com/terms.

To check the validity of this statement of verification please, visit www.greenbooklive.com/check or contact us.

BRE Global Ltd., Garston, Watford WD25 9XX.

T: +44 (0)333 321 8811 F: +44 (0)1923 664603 E: <u>Enquiries@breglobal.com</u>





Environmental Product Declaration

EPD Number: 000642

General Information

General information	
EPD Programme Operator	Applicable Product Category Rules
BRE Global Watford, Herts WD25 9XX United Kingdom	BRE 2023 Product Category Rules (PN 514 Rev 3.1) for Type III environmental product declaration of construction products to EN 15804:2012+A2:2019
Commissioner of LCA study	LCA consultant/Tool
CARES Pembroke House 21 Pembroke Road Sevenoaks Kent, TN13 1XR UK www.carescertification.com	CARES EPD Tool SPHERA SOLUTIONS UK LIMITED The Innovation Centre Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill, Warwick Warwickshire CV34 6UW www.sphera.com
Declared/Functional Unit	Applicability/Coverage
1 tonne of high tensile prestressing steel wire and strand for the prestressing of concrete manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route - Scrap as used within concrete structures for a commercial building.	Manufacturer-specific product.

EPD Type	Background database
Cradle to Gate with options	GaBi

Demonstration of Verification

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the core PCR $^{\rm a}$

Independent verification of the declaration and data according to EN ISO 14025:2010 $\hfill\Box$ Internal $\hfill \boxtimes$ External

(Where appropriate b)Third party verifier:

Pat Hermon

- a: Product category rules
- b: Optional for business-to-business communication; mandatory for business-to-consumer communication (see EN ISO 14025:2010, 9.4)

Comparability

Environmental product declarations from different programmes may not be comparable if not compliant with EN 15804:2012+A2:2019. Comparability is further dependent on the specific product category rules, system boundaries and allocations, and background data sources. See Clause 5.3 of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 for further guidance



Information modules covered

	Produc		Const	ruction		Use stage Related to					End-of-life				Benefits and loads beyond	
						Related to the building fabric				uilding					the system boundary	
A1	A2	А3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	В6	В7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Raw materials supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport to site	Construction – Installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstruction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, Recovery and/or Recycling potential
V	\square	V	$\overline{\square}$	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	V	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	\square	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	\square

Note: Ticks indicate the Information Modules declared.

Manufacturing site

İÇDAŞ Çelik Enerji Tersane ve Ulaşım Sanayi A.Ş. (member of CARES)

Değirmencik Köyü 17950 Biga Çanakkale Türkiye

Construction Product:

Product Description

Plain or indented high tensile steel wire for prestressing concrete is obtained by cold drawing of high carbon steel wire rods into various sizes (according to product standards listed in References) which are manufactured by hot rolling of continuously cast steel billets obtained from melting steel scrap in the Electric Arc Furnace (EAF).

High-tensile prestressing steel strand for prestressing concrete is obtained by winding multiple cold-drawn wires manufactured specifically for strand in a process similar to that described above to form a steel strand of various sizes (according to product standards listed in References).

The declared unit is 1 tonne of steel product covering high tensile prestressed steel wire and high tensile prestressed steel strand as used within concrete structures for a commercial building.



Technical Information

Property	Value, Unit
Production route	EAF
Density (as per BS 5896:2012)	7.81 kg/dm ³
Modulus of elasticity (values given for wire and strand separately as per BS 5896:2012) Characteristic Value of 0.1% Proof Force Fp _{0.1} (as per BS 5896:2012; value depending on steel name and diameter of wire)	205 GPa (Wire) 195 GPa (Strand) 18.5 to 56.6 kN (Wire) 62.2 to 334 kN (Strand)
Nominal Tensile strength (as per BS 5896:2012; value depending on steel name and diameter of wire)	1670 to 1860 MPa
Characteristic Value of Maximum Force Fm	21 to 60.4 kN (Wire) 92 to 379 kN (Strand)
Agt (total minimum % elongation at maximum force as per BS 5896:2012 for wire and strand) (with Lo ≥ 100mm for Wire; Lo ≥ 500mm for Strand)	3.5 %
Maximum relaxation at 1000 h for initial force corresponding to 70% (for wire and strand as per BS 5896:2012)	2.5%
Recycled content (for wire and strand, as per ISO 14021:2016/Amd:2021)	92.2 %

^{*} Technical Information details are as per relevant product standards listed in References section.

Main Product Contents

Material/Chemical Input	%
Fe	97
C, Mn, Si, V, Ni, Cu, Cr, Mo and others	3

Manufacturing Process

Scrap metal is melted in an electric arc furnace (EAF) to obtain liquid steel. This is then refined to remove impurities and alloying additions can be added to give the required properties.

Molten steel from the EAF is then cast into steel billets before being sent to the rolling mill where they are rolled to the required dimensions for the finished coils of the high carbon steel wire rods.

High carbon steel wire rods are cold drawn into required dimensions for the finished high tensile steel wire for the presstressing of concrete, in plain or in indented forms.

High carbon steel wire rods are cold drawn into required dimensions for the semi-finished wires, which are then twined to form steel strand for the prestressing of concrete.


The products are packaged by binding with steel straps, both the steel ties and products do not include any biogenic materials.

Wrapping paper and wooden wedge that contain biogenic material are used for the packaging and handling of the final products. Their total mass is 0.54% of the total mass of the product but less than 1%, thus their biogenic carbon is not considered in this declaration.



Process flow diagram

EAF steelmaking route





Construction Installation

Processing and proper use of high tensile steel wire and strand products depends on the application and should be made in accordance with generally accepted practices, standards and manufacturing recommendations.

During transport and storage of high tensile steel wire and strand products the usual requirement for the special care for securing loads is to be observed.

Use Information

The composition of the high tensile steel wire and strand products does not change during use.

High tensile steel wire and strand products do not cause adverse health effects under normal conditions of use.

No risks to the environment and living organisms are known to result from the mechanical destruction of the high tensile steel wire and strand products itself.

End of Life

High tensile steel wire and strand products are not reused at end of life but can be recycled to the same (or higher/lower) quality of steel depending upon the metallurgy and processing of the recycling route.

It is a high value resource, so efforts are made to recycle steel scrap rather than disposing of it at EoL. A recycling rate of 92% is typical for high tensile steel wire and strand products.



Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules

Declared unit description

The declared unit is 1 tonne of steel product covering high tensile prestressed steel wire and high tensile prestressed steel strand manufactured by the Secondary (Scrap) production route as used within concrete structures for a commercial building.

System boundary

The system boundary of the EPD follows the modular design defined by EN 15804+A2. This is a cradle to gate – with all options EPD and thus covers all modules from A1 to C4 and includes module D as well.

Impacts and aspects related to losses/wastage (i.e. production, transport and waste processing and end-of-life stage of lost waste products and materials) are considered in the modules in which the losses/wastage occur.

Once steel scrap has been collected for recycling it is considered to have reached the end of waste state.

Data sources, quality and allocation

Data Sources: Manufacturing data for the period 15/03/2023-15/03/2024 was provided by İÇDAŞ Çelik Enerji Tersane ve Ulaşım Sanayi A.Ş. (member of CARES). High carbon steel wire rods used in the manufacturing of high tensile steel wire and strand for the prestressing of concrete were manufactured by İÇDAŞ Çelik Enerji Tersane ve Ulaşım Sanayi A.Ş.

Precise measuring or assumptions have been considered for primary data. Manufacturing data specific for high tensile prestressed steel wire and high tensile prestressed steel strand have been collected separately from recording of meters where applicable or justified assumptions has been made where metering systems were not applicable. Primary data was verified during audit conducted by CARES.

The selection of the background data for electricity generation is in line with the BRE Global PCR. Country or region specific power grid mixes are selected from LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1). Thus, consumption grid mix of Turkiye has been selected to suit specific manufacturing location.

Data Quality: Data quality can be described as good. Background data are consistently sourced from the LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1). The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data have been verified by CARES.

Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development:

Geographical Representativeness : Good
Technical Representativeness : Very good
Time Representativeness : Good

Allocation: Mill scale is produced as co-products from the high strength steel wire and strand manufacturing process. Impacts are allocated between the steel, the slag and the mill scale based on economic value. The revenue generated from mill scale is 0.01%, which is less than 1% in relation to the product based on current market prices, these co-products are of definite value and are freely/readily traded in reality. For this reason, economic allocation has been applied to the processes where these co-products arise.

Specific information on allocation within the background data is given in the LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1).

Cut-off criteria

On the input side all flows entering the system and comprising more than 1% in total mass or contributing more than 1% to primary energy consumption are considered. All inputs used as well as all process-specific waste and process emissions were assessed. For this reason, material streams which were below 1% (by mass) were captured as well. In this manner the cut-off criteria according to the BRE guidelines are fulfilled.

The mass of steel strap used for packing the product is less than 1 % of the total mass of the product.



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

T arameters ut	escribing enviro				0)4:5	014:5	05.7		
			GWP- total	GWP- fossil	GWP- biogenic	GWP- luluc	ODP	AP	EP- freshwate r
			kg CO₂ eq	kg CO₂ eq	kg CO₂ eq	kg CO₂ eq	kg CFC11 eq	mol H⁺ eq	kg (PO ₄)
	Raw material supply	A1	1.02E+03	1.02E+03	0.574	0.377	1.02E-06	9.13	7.58E-04
December 1 at a ma	Transport	A2	25.9	26.0	-0.363	0.238	2.25E-12	0.080	9.35E-05
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	312	311	0.644	0.029	1.55E-09	3.60	2.61E-04
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.36E+03	1.36E+03	0.855	0.644	1.02E-06	12.81	1.11E-03
Construction	Transport	A4	20.7	20.8	-0.290	0.190	1.80E-12	0.064	7.48E-05
process stage	Construction	A5	28.1	28.2	-0.060	0.021	2.04E-08	0.261	2.64E-05
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	В6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	В7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario									
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	2.65E-03	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	0.003	4.12E-0
End of life	Transport	C2	41.4	41.9	-0.898	0.407	4.04E-12	0.193	1.61E-0
Ena or life	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.17	1.20	-0.040	0.004	3.05E-12	0.009	2.42E-06
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-493	-494	5	-3.570	-2.96E-09	-6.91	-2.09E-0
100% Lanfill Scena	rio								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	0.003	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	0.003	4.12E-0
End of life	Transport	C2	1.89	1.92	-0.044	0.020	1.88E-13	0.007	7.83E-06
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	14.6	15	-0.499	0.047	3.82E-11	0.107	3.02E-0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.39E+03	1.39E+03	1.32	-2.790	-8.49E-09	-2.67	-1.95E-0
100% Recycling Sc	enario								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	0.003	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	0.003	4.12E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	44.8	45.3	-0.973	0.440	4.37E-12	0.209	1.74E-0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-657	-658	5.32	-3.64	-2.48E-09	-7.28	-2.10E-0

GWP-total = Global warming potential, total; GWP-fossil = Global warming potential, fossil; GWP-biogenic = Global warming potential, biogenic; GWP-luluc = Global warming potential, land use and land use change; ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, accumulated exceedance; and EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters of	describing enviro	nmen	tal impac	ets					
			EP- marine	EP- terrestri al	POCP	ADP- mineral &metals	ADP- fossil	WDP	PM
			kg N eq	mol N eq	kg NMVOC	kg Sb eq	MJ, net calorific value	m ³ world eq	disease incidend e
	Raw material supply	A1	0.037	14.7	eq 4.04	7.52E-05	1.21E+04	1.02	1.07E-04
	Transport	A2	0.037	0.411	0.072	1.65E-06	349	0.296	4.74E-07
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	0.256	2.81	0.848	1.85E-05	3.70E+03	203	3.30E-0
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0.329	17.9	4.96	9.54E-05	1.61E+04	2.04E+0	1.40E-0
Construction	Transport	A4	0.029	0.328	0.058	1.32E-06	279	0.237	3.78E-0
process stage	Construction	A5	0.044	0.381	0.104	3.77E-05	337	4.12	2.84E-0
·	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
oco olago	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / 9	%8 Landfill Scenario		,						
7002 1100y 01111g 7 7	Deconstruction,								
	demolition	C1	1.16E-03	0.013	0.004	2.15E-08	28.4	0.005	1.88E-0
End of life	Transport	C2	0.091	1.01	0.195	2.86E-06	633	0.511	1.52E-0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.002	0.024	0.007	5.54E-08	16.0	0.132	1.05E-0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.774	-8.39	-2.20	-2.19E-03	- 1.08E+04	-597	-1.66E-0
100% Lanfill Scer	nario								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.16E-03	0.013	0.004	2.15E-08	28.4	0.005	1.88E-0
End of life	Transport	C2	0.003	0.036	0.006	1.38E-07	29.2	0.025	3.65E-0
Liid of life	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.028	0.303	0.083	6.92E-07	200	1.65	1.31E-0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.245	2.640	1.19	-2.17E-03	3.09E+03	-570	-1.04E-0
100% Recycling Scenario									
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.16E-03	0.013	0.004	2.15E-08	28.4	0.005	1.88E-0
End of life	Transport	C2	0.098	1.10	0.212	3.10E-06	685	0.553	1.65E-0
0 0	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.862	-9.35	-2.49	-2.19E-03	- 1.21E+04	-599	-1.71E-0

 $\label{eq:energy} \mbox{EP-marine} = \mbox{Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment;}$

EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, accumulated exceedance;

POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone;

ADP-mineral&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources;

ADP-fossil = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption; and PM = Particulate matter.



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

	escribing enviro					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	agg. ogatou,
			IRP	ETP-fw	HTP-c	HTP-nc	SQP
			kBq U ²³⁵ eq	CTUe	CTUh	CTUh	dimensionless
	Raw material supply	A1	28.3	7.58E-04	2.30E-07	8.70E-06	1.26E+03
	Transport	A2	0.065	9.35E-05	4.95E-09	3.09E-07	146
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	0.553	2.61E-04	5.54E-08	1.85E-06	393
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	28.9	1.11E-03	2.90E-07	1.09E-05	1.80E+03
Construction	Transport	A4	0.052	7.48E-05	3.96E-09	2.47E-07	116
process stage	Construction	A5	0.593	2.64E-05	6.08E-09	2.39E-07	49.3
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0
_	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8	3 Landfill Scenario	1					
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	4.12E-07	4.86E-10	1.52E-08	0.095
End of life	Transport	C2	0.117	1.61E-04	8.94E-09	5.22E-07	249
End of life	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.021	2.42E-06	1.34E-09	1.48E-07	3.89
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-27.5	-2.09E-03	-2.08E-05	-5.35E-06	-3.22E+03
100% Lanfill Scena	rio						
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	4.12E-07	4.86E-10	1.52E-08	0.095
End of life	Transport	C2	0.005	7.83E-06	4.14E-10	2.45E-08	12.2
2110 01 1110	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.263	3.02E-05	1.68E-08	1.85E-06	48.6
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-54.9	-1.95E-03	-1.79E-05	5.89E-06	-4.53E+03
100% Recycling Sc	enario						
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	4.12E-07	4.86E-10	1.52E-08	0.095
End of life	Transport	C2	0.127	1.74E-04	9.68E-09	5.65E-07	270
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-25.1	-2.10E-03	-2.11E-05	-6.33E-06	-3.10E+03

IRP = Potential human exposure efficiency relative to U235; ETP-fw = Potential comparative toxic unit for ecosystems; HTP-c = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; HTP-nc = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; and SQP = Potential soil quality index.



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters (describing resou	rce u	se, primar	y energy				
			PERE	PERM	PERT	PENRE	PENRM	PENRT
			MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ
	Raw material supply	A1	4.61E+03	0	2.40E+03	2.38E+04	0	1.21E+04
	Transport	A2	24.7	0	24.7	350	0	350
Product stage	Manufacturing	А3	1.91E+03	0	1.91E+03	3.70E+03	0	3.70E+03
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	6.54E+03	0	4.33E+03	2.79E+04	0	1.62E+04
Construction	Transport	A4	19.7	0	19.7	280	0	280
process stage	Construction	A5	133.0	0	89.2	571	0	338
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / 9	%8 Landfill Scenario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.125	0	0.125	28.4	0	28.4
End of life	Transport	C2	42.4	0	42.4	634	0	634
End of file	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.61	0	2.61	16.0	0	16.0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-4.68E+03	0	-4.68E+03	-1.08E+04	0	-1.08E+0
100% Landfill Sce	enario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.125	0	0.125	28.4	0	28.4
End of life	Transport	C2	2.07	0	2.07	29.3	0	29.3
End of file	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	32.6	0	32.6	200	0	200
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-7.00E+03	0	-7.00E+03	3.32E+03	0	3.32E+03
100% Recycling S	Scenario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.125	0	0.125	28.4	0	28.4
End of life	Transport	C2	45.9	0	45.9	687	0	687
	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-4.48E+03	0	-4.48E+03	-1.20E+04	0	-1.20E+0

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy used as raw materials;

PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources;

PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;
PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resource



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters desc	cribing resource us	se, sec	condary ma	terials and fuels,	use of water	
			SM	RSF	NRSF	FW
			kg	MJ net calorific value	MJ net calorific value	m³
	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	1.02
	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0.296
Product stage	Manufacturing	А3	-1.18E+03	0	0	203
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	-1.18E+03	0	0	2.04E+02
Construction	Transport	A4	0	0	0	0.237
process stage	Construction	A5	0	0	0	4.12
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0
ŭ	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8	Landfill Scenario					
	Deconstruction,					
End of life	demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.511
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0.132
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	260	0	0	-597
100% Landfill Scena	rio					
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.025
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	1.65
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.18E+03	0	0	-570
100% Recycling Sce	nario					
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.553
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	180	0	0	-599

SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Net use of fresh water



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			HWD	NHWD	RWD
			kg	kg	kg
	Raw material supply	A1	1.35E-06	97.7	0.189
	Transport	A2	1.29E-09	0.050	4.52E-04
Product stage	Manufacturing	А3	2.14E-07	2.16	0.007
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.57E-06	99.9	0.197
Construction	Transport	A4	1.04E-09	0.040	3.62E-04
process stage	Construction	A5	3.97E-08	3.77	0.004
	Use	B1	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0
Jse stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0
J.	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0
692 Recycling / %8	'				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	8.19E-11	0.006	3.28E-05
	Transport	C2	2.30E-09	0.090	8.15E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	3.49E-10	80.1	1.82E-04
otential benefits and bads beyond the ystem boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.168	31.5	-0.252
100% Landfill Scena	rio				
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	8.19E-11	0.006	3.28E-05
End of life	Transport	C2	1.08E-10	0.004	3.78E-05
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	4.36E-09	1.00E+03	0.002
Potential benefits and pads beyond the ystem boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.168	59.4	-0.499
100% Recycling Sce	nario				
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	8.19E-11	0.006	3.28E-05
End of life	Transport	C2	2.49E-09	0.097	8.82E-04
	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0
Potential benefits and pads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.168	29.0	-0.231

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed; NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed; RWD = Radioactive waste disposed



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			CRU	MFR	MER	EE	Biogenic carbon (product)	Biogenic carbon (packaging
			kg	kg	kg	MJ per energy carrier	kg C	kg C
	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Due di int ete ee	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Product stage	Manufacturing	А3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	Transport	A4	0	0	0	0	0	0
process stage	Construction	A5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jse stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	В6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	В7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8	Landfill Scenario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	-920	0	0	0	0
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Life of me	Waste processing	С3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Landfill Scena	rio							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Recycling Sce	nario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	-1.00E+03	0	0	0	0
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0

CRU = Components for reuse; MFR = Materials for recycling MER = Materials for energy recovery; EE = Exported Energy



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters de	escribing enviro	nmen		cts					
			GWP-	GWP-	GWP-	GWP-	ODP	AP	EP-
			total kg CO ₂	fossil kg CO ₂	biogenic kg CO ₂	luluc kg CO ₂	kg CFC11	mol H⁺	freshwate kg (PO ₄) ³
			eq	eq	eq	eq	eq	eq	eq (1 O ₄)
	Raw material supply	A1	857	856	0.482	0.315	8.28E-07	7.48	6.38E-04
	Transport	A2	21.1	21.2	-0.296	0.194	1.83E-12	0.066	7.63E-05
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	255	255	0.527	0.024	1.27E-09	2.95	2.14E-04
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.13E+03	1.13E+03	0.713	0.533	8.29E-07	10.50	9.28E-04
Construction	Transport	A4	20.7	20.8	-0.290	0.190	1.80E-12	0.064	7.48E-05
process stage	Construction	A5	23.4	23.6	-0.189	0.019	1.66E-08	0.215	2.23E-05
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	В6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	2.65E-03	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	0.003	4.12E-07
	Transport	C2	41.4	41.9	-0.898	0.407	4.04E-12	0.193	1.61E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.17	1.20	-0.040	0.004	3.05E-12	0.009	2.42E-06
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	260	260	0.0483	-0.356	-1.37E-09	-0.214	-2.63E-04
100% Lanfill Scena	rio								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	0.003	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	0.003	4.12E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	1.89	1.92	-0.044	0.020	1.88E-13	0.007	7.83E-06
Liid of mo	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	14.6	15.0	-0.499	0.047	3.82E-11	0.107	3.02E-05
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.14E+03	2.15E+03	-3.63	0.425	-6.90E-09	4.03	-1.24E-04
100% Recycling Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	0.003	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	0.003	4.12E-07
	Transport	C2	44.8	45.3	-0.973	0.440	4.37E-12	0.209	1.74E-04
2	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	96.1	96.2	0.368	-0.424	-8.90E-10	-0.583	-2.75E-04

GWP-total = Global warming potential, total; GWP-fossil = Global warming potential, fossil; GWP-biogenic = Global warming potential, biogenic; GWP-luluc = Global warming potential, land use and land use change; ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, accumulated exceedance; and EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters of	describing enviro	nmen	tal impac	ets					
			EP- marine	EP- terrestrial	POCP	ADP- mineral &metals	ADP- fossil	WDP	PM
			kg N eq	mol N eq	kg NMVOC	kg Sb eq	MJ, net calorific value	m ³ world	disease incidenc e
	Raw material supply	A1	0.030	12.1	eq 3.32	6.20E-05	1.00E+04	eq 2.46	8.74E-05
	Transport	A2	0.030	0.335	0.059	1.35E-06	284	0.241	3.86E-07
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	0.210	2.30	0.695	1.52E-05	3.03E+03	191	2.70E-05
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0.270	14.7	4.07	7.86E-05	1.33E+04	1.94E+0	1.15E-04
• · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A4	0.029	0.328	0.058	1.32E-06	279	0.237	3.78E-07
Construction process stage	Transport Construction	A5	0.029	0.326	0.036	3.11E-05	281	3.91	2.34E-06
process stage	Use	B1	0.036	0.317	0.086	0	0	0	2.34E-00
		B2		0			-		0
	Maintenance		0		0	0	0	0	
l loo otogo	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario									
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.16E-03	0.013	0.004	2.15E-08	28.4	0.005	1.88E-08
End of life	Transport	C2	0.091	1.01	0.195	2.86E-06	633	0.511	1.52E-0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.002	0.024	0.007	5.54E-08	16.0	0.132	1.05E-0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.071	0.764	0.288	-2.99E-04	932	-77.6	-1.20E-0
100% Lanfill Scer	nario								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.16E-03	0.013	0.004	2.15E-08	28.4	0.005	1.88E-0
End of life	Transport	C2	0.003	0.036	0.006	1.38E-07	29.2	0.025	3.65E-0
Liid oi ille	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.028	0.303	0.083	6.92E-07	200	1.65	1.31E-0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.09	11.8	3.68	-2.79E-04	1.49E+04	-50.8	5.00E-0
100% Recycling Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.16E-03	0.013	0.004	2.15E-08	28.4	0.005	1.88E-08
	Transport	C2	0.098	1.10	0.212	3.10E-06	685	0.553	1.65E-0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.018	-0.195	-0.007	-3.00E-04	-280	-79.9	-1.74E-0

 $\label{eq:energy} \mbox{EP-marine} = \mbox{Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment;}$

EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, accumulated exceedance;

POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone;

ADP-mineral&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources;

ADP-fossil = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption; and PM = Particulate matter.



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts									
			IRP	ETP-fw	HTP-c	HTP-nc	SQP		
			kBq U ²³⁵ eq	CTUe	CTUh	CTUh	dimensionless		
	Raw material supply	A1	23.1	6.38E-04	2.19E-07	7.36E-06	1.03E+03		
5	Transport	A2	0.053	7.63E-05	4.04E-09	2.52E-07	119		
Product stage	Manufacturing	А3	0.453	2.14E-04	4.54E-08	1.52E-06	322		
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	23.6	9.28E-04	2.68E-07	9.13E-06	1.47E+03		
Construction	Transport	A4	0.052	7.48E-05	3.96E-09	2.47E-07	116		
process stage	Construction	A5	0.486	2.23E-05	5.63E-09	2.03E-07	42.4		
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0		
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0		
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0	0		
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0		
_	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0		
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0		
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0		
%92 Recycling / %8	3 Landfill Scenario	1							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	4.12E-07	4.86E-10	1.52E-08	0.095		
End of life	Transport	C2	0.117	1.61E-04	8.94E-09	5.22E-07	249		
Life of the	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0		
	Disposal	C4	0.021	2.42E-06	1.34E-09	1.48E-07	3.89		
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-8.55	-2.63E-04	-2.37E-06	1.22E-06	-672		
100% Lanfill Scena	rio								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	4.12E-07	4.86E-10	1.52E-08	0.095		
End of life	Transport	C2	0.005	7.83E-06	4.14E-10	2.45E-08	12.2		
2.1.0 0.10	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0		
	Disposal	C4	0.263	3.02E-05	1.68E-08	1.85E-06	48.6		
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-35.9	-1.24E-04	5.36E-07	1.25E-05	-1.98E+03		
100% Recycling Sc	enario								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	4.12E-07	4.86E-10	1.52E-08	0.095		
End of life	Transport	C2	0.127	1.74E-04	9.68E-09	5.65E-07	270		
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0		
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0		
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-6.17	-2.75E-04	-2.62E-06	2.42E-07	-558		

IRP = Potential human exposure efficiency relative to U235; ETP-fw = Potential comparative toxic unit for ecosystems; HTP-c = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; HTP-nc = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; and SQP = Potential soil quality index.



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters (describing resou	rce u	se, primar	y energy				
			PERE	PERM	PERT	PENRE	PENRM	PENRT
			MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ
	Raw material supply	A1	3.76E+03	0	1.97E+03	1.95E+04	0	1.00E+04
	Transport	A2	20.1	0	20.100	285.000	0	285
Product stage	Manufacturing	А3	1.57E+03	0	1.57E+03	3.03E+03	0	3.03E+0
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	5.35E+03	0	3.56E+03	2.28E+04	0	1.33E+0
Construction	Transport	A4	19.7	0	19.7	280	0	280
process stage	Construction	A5	111	0	75.0	471	0	282
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ü	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	В6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
	Deconstruction,	C1	0.125	0	0.125	28.4	0	28.4
Final of life	demolition Transport	C2	42.4	0	42.4	634	0	634
End of life	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.61	0	2.61	16.0	0	16
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-1.05E+03	0	-1.05E+03	970	0	970
100% Landfill Sce	enario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.125	0	0.125	28.4	0	28.4
End of life	Transport	C2	2.07	0	2.07	29.3	0	29.3
End of file	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	32.6	0	32.6	200	0	200
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-3.37E+03	0	-3.37E+03	1.51E+04	0	1.51E+0
100% Recycling Scenario								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.125	0	0.125	28.4	0	28.4
End of life	Transport	C2	45.9	0	45.9	687	0	687
End of mo	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-847	0	-847	-256	0	-256

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy used as raw materials;

PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources;

PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;
PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resource



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing resource use, secondary materials and fuels, use of water									
			SM	RSF	NRSF	FW			
			kg	MJ net calorific value	MJ net calorific value	m³			
	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	2.46			
D 1 4 4	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0.241			
Product stage	Manufacturing	А3	-1.14E+03	0	0	191			
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	-1.14E+03	0	0	1.94E+02			
Construction	Transport	A4	0	0	0	0.237			
process stage	Construction	A5	0	0	0	3.91			
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0			
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0			
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0			
Use stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0			
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0			
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0			
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0			
%92 Recycling / %8	Landfill Scenario								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005			
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.511			
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0			
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0.132			
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	215	0	0	-77.6			
100% Landfill Scena	rio								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005			
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.025			
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0			
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	1.65			
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.14E+03	0	0	-50.8			
100% Recycling Scenario									
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005			
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.553			
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0			
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0			
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.35E+02	0	0	-79.9			

SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Net use of fresh water



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			HWD	NHWD	RWD
			kg	kg	kg
	Raw material supply	A1	1.09E-06	79.6	0.154
Product stage	Transport	A2	1.06E-09	0.041	3.69E-04
	Manufacturing	А3	1.76E-07	2.36	0.006
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.27E-06	82.0	0.160
Construction	Transport	A4	1.04E-09	0.040	3.62E-04
process stage	Construction	A5	3.22E-08	3.38	0.003
	Use	B1	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0
Jse stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0
J	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	В6	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0
692 Recycling / %8					
, ,	Deconstruction,				
	demolition	C1	8.19E-11	0.006	3.28E-05
End of life	Transport	C2	2.30E-09	0.090	8.15E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	3.49E-10	80.1	1.82E-04
Potential benefits and pads beyond the ystem boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.023	9.20	-0.078
100% Landfill Scena	rio				
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	8.19E-11	0.006	3.28E-05
End of life	Transport	C2	1.08E-10	0.004	3.78E-05
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	4.36E-09	1.00E+03	0.002
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.023	37.2	-0.325
100% Recycling Sce	nario				
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	8.19E-11	0.006	3.28E-05
End of life	Transport	C2	2.49E-09	0.097	8.82E-04
LING OF INC	Waste processing	СЗ	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-0.023	6.77	-0.056

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed; NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed; RWD = Radioactive waste disposed



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			CRU	MFR	MER	EE	Biogenic carbon (product)	Biogenic carbon (packaging
			kg	kg	kg	MJ per energy carrier	kg C	kg C
	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Due divet ete ee	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Product stage	Manufacturing	А3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	Transport	A4	0	0	0	0	0	0
process stage	Construction	A5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jse stage	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	В6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	В7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8	Landfill Scenario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	-920	0	0	0	0
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Liid of life	Waste processing	С3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Landfill Scena	rio							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Recycling Sce	nario							
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	-1.00E+03	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0

CRU = Components for reuse; MFR = Materials for recycling MER = Materials for energy recovery; EE = Exported Energy



Scenarios and additional technical information

Scenarios and addi	tional technical information							
Scenario	Parameter	Units	Results					
	On leaving the manufacturing factory the high-tensile prestressed steel wire and strand products are transported to the construction site, including provision of all materials and products. Road transport distance to site is assumed to be 350 km. Only the one-way distance is considered as it is assumed that the logistics companies will optimise their distribution and not return empty in modules beyond A3.							
A4 – Transport to the building site	Truck trailer - Fuel	litre/km	1.56					
Zamamig one	Distance	km	350					
	Capacity utilisation (incl. empty returns)	%	85					
	Bulk density of transported products	kg/m ³	7810					
A5 – Installation in	Installation in the building; including provision of all materials, products, and energy, as well as waste processing up to the end-of-waste state or disposal of final residues during the construction stage. Installation of the product into the building is assumed to result in 2% wastage (determined based on typical installation losses reported by the WRAP Net Waste Tool [WRAP 2017]). It is assumed that fabrication requires 15.34 kWh/tonne finished product, and that there is a 2% wastage associated with this process.							
the building	Ancillary materials for installation - Losses per tonne of construction steel forms	%	2					
	Energy Use - Energy per tonne required for installation into building	kWh	15.34					
	Waste materials from installation wastage	%	2					
B2 – Maintenance	No maintenance required							
B3 – Repair	No repair process required							
B4 – Replacement	No replacement considerations required							
B5 – Refurbishment	No refurbishment process required							
Reference service life	High-tensile prestressed steel wire and strand products for the prestressing of concrete are used in the main building structure so the reference service life will equal the lifetime of the building. The Concrete Society follows the definitions provided in BS EN 1990, which specifies "building structures and other common structures" as having a lifetime of 50 years (Design working life (concrete.org.uk)). On this basis, the RSL for this EPD is assumed to be 50 years.							
B6 – Use of energy; B7 – Use of water	No water or energy required during use stage related to the	operation of the bu	uilding					
C1 to C4 End of life,	The end-of-life stage starts when the construction product is replaced, dismantled or deconstructed from the building or construction works and does not provide any further function. The recovered steel is transported for recycling while a small portion is assumed to be unrecoverable and remains in the rubble which is sent to landfill. 92% of the reinforcing steel is assumed to be recycled and 8% is sent to landfill [STEELCONSTRUCTION.INFO 2012]. Once steel scrap is generated through the deconstruction activities on the demolition site it is considered to have reached the "end of waste" state. No further processing is required so there are no impacts associated with this module. Hence no impacts are reported in module C3.							



	Waste for recycling - Recovered steel from crushed concrete	%	92					
	Waste for energy recovery - Energy recovery is not considered for this study as most end of life steel scrap is recycled, while the remainder is landfilled	-	-					
	Waste for final disposal - Unrecoverable steel lost in crushed concrete and sent to landfill	%	8					
	Portion of energy assigned to rebar from energy required to demolish building, per tonne	MJ	24					
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Fuel consumption	litre/km	1.56					
C1 to C4 End of life,	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Distance	km	463					
211d 61 1116,	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Capacity utilisation	%	85					
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Density of Product	kg/m³	7810					
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Fuel consumption	litre/km	0.0041					
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Distance	km	158					
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Capacity utilisation	%	50					
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Density of Product	kg/m³	7810					
	It is assumed that 92% of the steel used in the structure is recovered for recycling, while the remainder is landfilled. "Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary" (module D) accounts for the environmental benefits and loads resulting from net steel scrap that is used as raw material in the EAF and that is collected for recycling at end of life. The balance between total scrap arisings recycled from fabrication, installation and end of life and scrap consumed by the manufacturing process (internally sourced scrap is not included in this calculation). These benefits and loads are calculated by including the burdens of recycling and the benefit of avoided primary production.							
Module D	This study is concerned with the secondary production route and more scrap is required as input to the system than is recovered at end of life. The net effect of this is that module D mainly models the burdens associated with the scrap input (secondary material) to the steelmaking process.							
	The resulting scrap credit/burden is calculated based on the global "value of (worldsteel 2017).	of scrap" a	oproach					
	Recycled Content	kg	922					
	Re-used Content	kg	0					
	Recovered for recycling	kg	920					
	Recovered for re-use	kg	0					
	Recovered for energy	kg	0					



Summary, comments and additional information

Interpretation

Scrap based high-tensile prestressed steel wire and strand products for the prestressing of concrete of İÇDAŞ Çelik Enerji Tersane ve Ulaşım Sanayi A.Ş. (member of CARES) is made via the EAF route. The bulk of the environmental impacts and primary energy demand is attributed to the manufacturing phase, covered by information modules A1-A3 of EN 15804+A2.

The interpretation of the results has been carried out considering the methodology- and data-related assumptions and limitations declared in the EPD. This interpretation section focuses on the environmental impact categories as well as the primary energy demand indicators only.

Global Warming Potential (GWP)

The majority of the life cycle GWP impact occurs in the production phase (A1-A3). A1-A3 impacts account for 92.73% overall life cycle impacts for this category. The most significant contributions to production phase impacts are: the upstream production of raw materials used in the steelmaking process, generation/supply of electricity and the production/use of fuels on site. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes covered in C1-C4 make a minimal contribution to GWP. For overall climate change impacts, carbon dioxide emissions account for the majority of impacts with methane being the second most significant contributor.

Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP)

The majority of impacts are associated with the production phase (A1-3). Significant contributions to production phase impact come from the emission of ozone depleting substances during the upstream production of raw materials/preproducts as well as those arising from electricity production. Module D shows a very small credit even though scrap burdens are being assessed in this phase. This is explained because ODP emissions are linked to grid electricity production used.

Acidification Potential (AP)

Acidification potential is generally driven by the production of sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides through the combustion of fossil fuels, particularly coal and crude oil products. The majority of the lifecycle AP impact occurs in the production phase (A1-A3), similar to GWP. The major contributors to production phase AP impacts comes from energy resources used in the production of the raw materials and pre-products for the steelmaking process and from transportation. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes classed under C1-C4 make minimal contributions.

Eutrophication Potential (EP)

Eutrophication is driven by nitrogen and phosphorus containing emissions and as with GWP and AP is often strongly linked with the use of fossil fuels. The major eutrophication impacts occur in the production phase (A1-A3). Significant contributions to production phase impact comes from the production of raw materials and transport. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes classed under C1-C4 again make minimal contributions.

Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POCP)

POCP tends to be driven by emissions of carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulphur dioxide and NMVOCs. The production phase is the dominant phase of the lifecycle with regards to POCP impacts. Again, these are all emissions commonly associated with the combustion of fuels. Significant contributors to POCP are the upstream production of raw materials/pre-products and transport, directly linked to fossil fuel combustion. It should be noted that the impacts for steel recycling in module D is almost of the same magnitude as the production phase impacts.






Figure 1 - shows the relative contribution of each life cycle stage to different environmental indicators for the high-tensile prestressed steel wire and strand products for the prestressing of concrete manufactured by secondary production route - scrap



References

BSI. Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products. BS EN 15804:2012+A2:2019. London, BSI, 2019.

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations. Self-declared environmental claims (Type II environmental labelling). BS EN ISO 14021:2016+A1:2021. London, BSI, 2022

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations – Type III Environmental declarations – Principles and procedures. BS EN ISO 14025:2010 (exactly identical to ISO 14025:2006). London, BSI, 2010.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework. BS EN ISO BS EN ISO 14040:2006+A1:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – requirements and guidelines. BS EN ISO 14044:2006+A2:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Eurocode. Basis of structural and geotechnical design. BS EN 1990:2023. London, 2023.

Demolition Energy Analysis of Office Building Structural Systems, Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1997

The Concrete Society, Design working life (concrete.org.uk)

LCA FE (GaBi) Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen

LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation for the LCA FE Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, version 2023.1, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, https://www.LCA FE (GaBi)-software.com/databases/LCA FE (GaBi)-databases/

International Energy Agency, Energy Statistics 2013. http://www.iea.org

Kreißig, J. und J. Kümmel (1999): Baustoff-Ökobilanzen. Wirkungsabschätzung und Auswertung in der Steine-Erden-Industrie. Hrsg. Bundesverband Baustoffe Steine + Erden e.V.

U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, Iron and Steel Slag, January 2014

SteelConstruction.info; The recycling and reuse survey, 2012 http://www.steelconstruction.info/The recycling and reuse survey

Sustainability of construction works - Environmental product declarations - Methodology for selection and use of generic data; German version CEN/TR 15941

REGULATION (EU) No 305/2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products and repealing Council Directive 89/106/EEC

WRAP (2017). WRAP (Waste & Resources Action Programme) Net Waste Tool

worldsteel Association - Life cycle inventory methodology report for steel products, 2017

CARES CARES Post Tensioning Systems for Concrete Structures Scheme Appendix PT6 - Quality and operations assessment schedule for the production of wire rod for further processing into high tensile steel wire and strand for the prestressing of concrete, including inspection and testing requirement - https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search - Certificate number of conformance to BS 5896 at the time of LCA study – 230104



CARES CARES Post Tensioning Systems for Concrete Structures Scheme Appendix PT8 - Quality and operations assessment schedule for high tensile steel wire and strand for the prestressing of concrete - https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search - Certificate number of conformance to BS 5896 at the time of LCA study – 231007

BS 5896:2012 High tensile steel wire and strand for the prestressing of concrete. Specification

ABNT NBR 7483 Steel strand for prestressed concrete structures - Specification

ABNT NBR 7482 Steel wire for prestressed concrete structures - Specification

ASTM A416/A416M-18 Standard Specification for Low-Relaxation, Seven-Wire Steel Strand for Prestressed Concrete

ASTM A421/A421M-21 Standard Specification for Stress-Relieved Steel Wire for Prestressed Concrete

ASTM A881/A881M-23 Standard Specification for Steel Wire, Indented, Low-Relaxation for Prestressed Concrete

AS NZS 4672.1-2 Steel prestressing materials

prEN 10138-1 Prestressing steels - Part 1: Wire

prEN 10138-2 Prestressing steels - Part 2: Strand

prEN 10138-3 Prestressing steels - Part 3: Bars

ISO 6934-1:1991 Steel for the prestressing of concrete Part 1: General requirements

ISO 6934-2:1991 Steel for the prestressing of concrete Part 2: Cold-drawn wire

ISO 6934-3:1991 Steel for the prestressing of concrete Part 3: Quenched and tempered wire

ISO 6934-4:2020 Steel for the prestressing of concrete Part 4: Strand

NBN I 10-001:1986 Prestressing steels - General requirements

NBN I 10-002:1987 Prestressing steels - Cold drawn wire

NBN I 10-003:1986 Prestressing steels - Strand

NEN 3868:2001 nl Prestressing Steel

NF A35-045-1 Prestressing steels - Part 1 : general requirements

NF A35-045-2 Prestressing steels - Part 2: wire

NF A35-045-3 Prestressing steels - Part 3: strand

TS 5680 Steel Strands for Prestressed Concrete

TS 3721 Steel Wires for Prestressed Concrete

ST 009-2011 Technical specifications for steel products used as reinforcements



SFS 1265-1 Prestressing steels. Part 1: General requirements

SFS 1265-3 Prestressing steels. Part 3: Strand

SS 212551:2013 Prestressing steels - General requirements

SS 212552:2013 Prestressing steels - Wire

SS 212553:2013 Prestressing steels - Strand